

Green Bond / Green Bond Programme

Independent External Review Form

Basic Information Section 1. **Issuer name: Japan Prime Realty Investment Corporation** Green Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framework Name, if applicable: Japan Prime Realty Investment Corporation's Green Finance Framework Independent External Review provider's name: Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. Completion date of this form: July 1, 2019 Publication date of review publication: July 1, 2019 Section 2. **Review overview SCOPE OF REVIEW** The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review. The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs: \boxtimes \boxtimes Use of Proceeds **Process for Project Evaluation and Selection** XManagement of Proceeds Reporting **ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL REVIEW PROVIDER Second Party Opinion** ☐ Certification Verification \boxtimes Scoring/Rating П Other (please specify):

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

Latest update: June 2018

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

Japan Prime Realty Investment Corporation (the "Investment Corporation") is a multi-purpose J-REIT that invests in offices and urban retail properties. In June 2002, it was listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange (Real Estate Investment Trust Securities Market). The sponsors of Tokyo Real estate Investment Management Co., Ltd. (the "Asset Management Company") are Tokyo Tatemono Co., Ltd. (investment ratio: 52%), Yasuda Realty Co., Ltd. (investment ratio: 18%), Taisei Corporation (investment ratio: 10%), Sompo Japan Nipponkoa Insurance Inc. (investment ratio: 10%), and Meiji Yasuda Life Insurance Company (investment ratio: 10%). The current portfolio is comprised of 63 projects, and the asset size is JPY439.5bn (on an acquisition price basis). The Investment Corporation mainly invests in real estate consisting of offices (urban business facilities), commercial facilities located in locations with a high degree of entertainment value, buildings of complexes, and their sites, as well as asset-backed securities for these properties, etc.

In view of the fact that it is essential to meet the demands of society, such as the environment, society, and governance, in order for the Investment Corporation to grow stably over the medium to long term, The Asset Management Company formulated the Sustainability Policy, and initiatives for the environment is picked up as one of the Policy. In addition, the Investment Corporation regularly discloses the details of specific initiatives to achieve the targets based on the setting of environmental targets, as well as the results of various monitoring data to demonstrate its positive efforts.

The scope of the evaluation is the Green Finance Framework (the "Framework") of the Investment Corporation, which was established to limit funds raised by green bonds and green loans ("Green Finance") to the use of proceeds that have an environmental improvement effect. JCR assess whether the Framework conforms to the Green Bond Principles (2018 edition), the Green Bond Guidelines (2017 edition) and the Green Loan Principles. The Green Bond Principles, the Green Bond Guidelines and the Green Loan Principles are principles or guidelines voluntarily published by the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA), the Ministry of the Environment, the Loan Market Association (LMA) and the Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA), respectively, and are not binding on them, but JCR assesses with reference to those principles and guidelines as globally unified standards at this time.

The Investment Corporation defines properties subject to the use of proceeds as properties for which 3 stars or more of DBJ Green Building certification and B+ ranks or higher of CASBEE-Building has been acquired or are expected to be acquired. JCR evaluates the use of proceeds specified by the Investment Corporation as having environmental improvement effect.

The Investment Corporation intends to raise Green Finance based on clear environmental objectives. The internal system for dealing with environmental issues has been properly established, and a system has been established to ensure that departments with specialized environmental knowledge are also involved in the selection and process of projects for which funds are to be used. JCR confirmed the strong management and management system and high transparency of the Investment Corporation, since environmental initiatives are being promoted while incorporating opinions of outside experts.

As a result, based on JCR Green Finance Evaluation Methodology, JCR assigns "g1 (F)" for "Greenness Evaluation (Use of Proceeds)" and "m1 (F)" for "Management, Operation and Transparency Evaluation." Consequently, JCR assigns "Green1 (F)" for overall "JCR Green Finance Framework Evaluation" to the Framework.

The Framework meets the standards for the items required in the Green Bond Principles, the Ministry of the Environment's Green Bond Guidelines, and the Green Loan Principles.

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

a. On the environmental improvement effects of the project

- i. The use of proceeds is financing or refinancing of buildings (Green Buildings) that have already acquired or are planning to acquire environmental certification for up to the top 3 certification categories in the region, country, or internationally recognized certification levels, and is expected to contribute to the improvement of the environment.
- ii. The use of proceeds falls under the category of "regional, national or internationally recognized standards and certification green buildings" and "energy conservation" among green projects as defined in the Green Bond Principles or the Ministry of the Environment's Green Bond Guidelines.

b. Negative impact on Environment

The Asset Management Company conducts a variety of investigations on the acquisition of properties by confirming evaluation reports, etc. by experts. In addition, it has been confirmed that the buildings to be acquired do not have a negative impact on the environment, etc., by acquiring them on the term that they satisfy the pre-determined check items, etc., within the Asset Management Company.

Therefore, JCR confirmed that appropriate consideration was given to the negative impact on the environment.

Use of proceeds categories as per GBP:

Renewable energy	\boxtimes	Energy efficiency
Pollution prevention and control		Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation		Clean transportation
Sustainable water and wastewater management		Climate change adaptation
Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes	\boxtimes	Green buildings
Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs		Other (please specify):

If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overa	all comment on section (if applicable):		
proce	nvestment Corporation has established clear en sses for the use of proceeds. matters are disclosed in the JCR's evaluation re		mental objectives, project selection criteria, and
Evalu	ation and selection		
\boxtimes	Credentials on the issuer's environmental	\boxtimes	Documented process to determine that
\boxtimes	sustainability objectives Defined and transparent criteria for	\boxtimes	projects fit within defined categories Documented process to identify and manage
\boxtimes	projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available		potential ESG risks associated with the project Other (please specify):
Infor	mation on Responsibilities and Accountab	oility	
	Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification	\boxtimes	In-house assessment
	Other (please specify):		
3. MA	ANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS		
• The or the • Un ma	for the refinancing of the acquisition funds with ere will be no unallocated funds for a long perintil all Green Finance is redeemed/repaid, the banaged under the portfolio management approache use of proceeds in Green Finance is exclude anagement Company confirms that Green Eligi	thin 2 i od of t palance pach. Ii led fro bility L	
Track	ing of proceeds:		
\boxtimes	Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked	by the	issuer in an appropriate manner
	Disclosure of intended types of temporary investment instruments for unallocated proceeds		
П	Other (please specify):		

Add	itional disclosure:		
	Allocations to future investments only	\boxtimes	Allocations to both existing and future
	Allocation to individual disbursements		investments Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements
	Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds		Other (please specify):
1 D	EPORTING		
4. K	EPORTING		
a.	website. JCR evaluates that the disclosures on Corporation plans to announce in their news rethe use of proceeds if the property is excluded before the redemption/repayment of the Greelmpact reporting for environmental benefits In addition to the third party certification level	unalloca elease or from th en Financ s acquire	n the sale of the property that was the subject of e use of proceeds due to the sale of the property
Use	of proceeds reporting:		
\boxtimes	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Information reported:		
	☑ Allocated amounts		Green Bond financed share of total investment
	☐ Other (please specify):		
	Frequency:		
	☑ Annual		Semi-annual
	☐ Other (please specify):		
lmp	act reporting:		
\boxtimes	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Frequency:		
			Semi-annual
	☐ Other (please specify):		
	Information reported (expected or ex-	-post):	
	☐ GHG Emissions / Savings	\boxtimes	Energy Savings

	☑ Decrease in water use		Other ESG indicators (please specify): Status of Environmental Certification Acquisition				
Means of Disclosure							
	Information published in financial report	\boxtimes	Information published in sustainability report				
	Information published in ad hoc documents Reporting reviewed		Other (please specify): Show on the website				
Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.							
USEFUL LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.)							
Sustainability activities by the Investment Corporation http://www.jpr-reit.co.jp/en/about/sustainability/							
JCR's website about green bond evaluation methodology https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/							
SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE Type(s) of Review provided: Second Party Opinion Certification Verification Scoring/Rating							
	Other (please specify):	- -	5. 0				
Review provider(s): Date of publication:							
Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.			July 1, 2019				

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification**: An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. **Green Bond Scoring/Rating**: An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.