

Green Bond / Green Bond Programme

Independent External Review Form

Section 1. **Basic Information** Issuer name: **Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank** Green Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framework Name, if applicable: The Trust Beneficiary Rights 00012747 (Trust Beneficiary Right) Independent External Review provider's name: Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. Completion date of this form: September 28, 2018 Publication date of review publication: September 28, 2018 Section 2. **Review overview SCOPE OF REVIEW** The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review. The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs: \boxtimes Use of Proceeds **Process for Project Evaluation and Selection** XManagement of Proceeds Reporting **ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL REVIEW PROVIDER Second Party Opinion** Certification Verification \boxtimes Scoring/Rating П Other (please specify): Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited (SMTB) was founded as Mitsui Trust and Banking Corporation in 1924 and Sumitomo Trust and Banking Corporation in 1925, and is the core company of the Sumitomo Mitsui Trust and Banking Corporation Group. SMTB was established in 2012 through the merger of Sumitomo Trust and Banking, Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking, and Chuo Mitsui Asset Trust and Banking. The Sumitomo Mitsui

Latest update: June 2018

Trust Group, to which SMTB belongs, is the largest asset operation and management group in Japan. It is a trust banking group with industry-leading businesses, mainly in the fiduciary business (asset management). The Sumitomo Mitsui Trust and Banking Corporation have formulated the "Action Guidelines for Climate Change" in response to climate change. "2. Provision of Products and Services" in the "Action Guidelines for Climate Change", stipulates that "the Group will strive to develop and provide products and services that contribute to climate change mitigation, such as promotion of energy conservation through financial functions and promotion of the use of renewable energy." The Group aims to contribute to the resolution of climate change issues by utilizing the functions of trusts.

The subject of this evaluation is trust beneficiary rights backed by a loan receivable from a project SPC that operates a solar power generation facility in SMTB's banking account.

SMTB entrusts its loan to the SPC held in the bank account to its own trust account. The Trustee SMTB shall deliver the trust beneficiary rights to the bank account and SMTB shall transfer the trust beneficiary rights to investors

Through this scheme, SMTB provides investors with opportunities to invest in ESGs. The loan from SMTB underlying the trust beneficiary rights to the SPC will be used to fund the construction of a solar power generation facility owned by the SPC.

SMTB also confirmed that this project may not have a serious negative environmental impact that would outweigh the environmental impact of the project. Based on the above, JCR has evaluated the use of the loan, which is the underlying asset of this assessment, as a green project that contributes significantly to reducing CO2 emissions.

In addition, JCR confirmed that the management system and transparency of the trust beneficiary rights in SMTB are high, for example, due to the fact that the management of the trust beneficiary rights is managed in an appropriate manner internally and that the internal management system is in place.

As a result, the trust beneficiary rights to be evaluated are set as "g1" in the "Green Bond Assessment (Purpose of Use of Funds)" and "m1" in the "Management/Operation System and Transparency Assessment" based on JCR Green Bond Assessment Method, and the "Overall evaluation" is set as "Green1.". In addition, the trust beneficiary rights are considered to meet the standards for items required under the Green Bond Principles and the Green Bond Guidelines of the Ministry of the Environment of Japan.

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

a. Environmental Benefits of the Projects

- i. 100% of the proceeds is for the acquisition of a solar power generation facility, which is highly effective in improving the environment.
- ii. Solar power generation facilities are highly schedule to be completed and put into operation as planned.
- iii. The use of proceeds falls under the category of renewable energy among green projects as defined in the ICMA's Green Bond Principles or Green Bond Guidelines of the Ministry of the Environment of Japan.

b. Negative impact on Environment

In selecting the project subject to green bonds, SMTB assumes risks that may have a negative impact and conducts preliminary examinations. As a result of such preliminary examination, no negative impact on the environment has been anticipated for the target asset.

Use of proceeds categories as per GBP:

\boxtimes	Renewable energy	Energy efficiency
	Pollution prevention and control	Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
	Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation	Clean transportation
	Sustainable water and wastewater management	Climate change adaptation
	Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes	Green buildings
	Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs	Other (please specify):

If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

SMTB have clear environmental goal, project selection criteria and process to determine the proceeds, which are shown in evaluation report composed by JCR.

Evalu	ation and selection					
\boxtimes	Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives	\boxtimes	Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories			
\boxtimes	Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds	\boxtimes	Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project			
	Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available		Other (please specify):			
Infor	mation on Responsibilities and Accountab	ility				
	Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to	\boxtimes	In-house assessment			
	external advice or verification Other (please specify):					
3. M	ANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS					
The local construction of the local construc	ruction of the solar power generation facility boses. The trustee, will promptly allocate the procee ivided into three times, and the SPC will allocate.	y the p ds fro ce the	m the trust beneficiary rights to the loan to the			
T	to at an and					
	ing of proceeds:					
\boxtimes	Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by the issuer in an appropriate manner					
	Disclosure of intended types of temporary in	vestm	ent instruments for unallocated proceeds			
	Other (please specify):					
Addit	ional disclosure:					
	Allocations to future investments only	\boxtimes	Allocations to both existing and future investments			
	Allocation to individual disbursements		Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements			

4. REPORTING

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

unallocated proceeds

Disclosure of portfolio balance of

a. Reporting on proceeds allocation the trust beneficiary rights shall be posted to the trust account after the establishment of the trust and

☐ Other (please specify):

shall be managed in the management system of SMTB. JCR confirms that the trust account settlement is to be conducted semi-annually and that the trust property status report is to be delivered to investors at that time.

On the other hand, with regard to the loan claim that is the underlying asset of the trust beneficiary rights, the SPC appropriates for the construction of the solar power generation facility immediately after each loan is made, no reporting of unappropriated funds during the term is currently anticipated.

b. Impact reporting for environmental benefits

In the ESG/CSR report, SMTB schedules to disclose the effects of environmental improvements status by the CO2, and to receive a third-party review by JCR once a year to confirm the accuracy of the reporting.

Use o	of proceeds reporting:		
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Information reported:		
	☑ Allocated amounts		Green Bond financed share of total investment
	☐ Other (please specify):		
	Frequency:		
			Semi-annual
	☑ Other (please specify):		
	All the proceeds will be allocated to the projects promptly after issuing the bonds.		
Impa	ct reporting:		
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Frequency:		
			Semi-annual
	☐ Other (please specify):		
	Information reported (expected or ex-	post):	
	□ GHG Emissions / Savings		Energy Savings
	☐ Decrease in water use		Other ESG indicators (please specify):
Meai	ns of Disclosure		
	Information published in financial report		Information published in sustainability report
	Information published in ad hoc documents Reporting reviewed		Other (please specify):

Yes, both use of proceeds and impact reporting are subject to external review.

Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.

SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE Type(s) of Review provided:									
-									

Date of publication:

September 28, 2018

Review provider(s):

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification**: An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. **Green Bond Scoring/Rating**: An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.