

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit ratings.

The Republic of Poland (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

| | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|
| Foreign Currency Long-term Issuer Rating: | A |
| Outlook: | Stable |
| Local Currency Long-term Issuer Rating: | A+ |
| Outlook: | Stable |
| Bonds: | A |

- (1) The ratings are primarily supported by the country's diversified and stable economic base, containment of the fiscal deficit and government debt, and improving external liquidity. On the other hand, the ratings remain constrained by its relatively large external debt. The outlook of the ratings is Stable. The ruling Law and Justice party with its stable political base continues to put priority on promotion of inclusive growth with commitment to keep the fiscal deficit below 3% of GDP. While the government has implemented a package of measures to lower household fiscal burdens, it has so far succeeded in containing the fiscal deficit thanks to increased tax revenues brought by economic expansion and enhanced tax compliance. The country's financial system has added to stability and the current account has begun generating a slight surplus. JCR holds that the economy will keep its stable growth mainly led by domestic demand. Poland is in negotiation with the EU on its judicial reforms. However, the EU's possible sanctions such as suspension of Poland's voting rights under Article 7 of the Lisbon Treaty would be hardly practicable because they require a unanimous vote. As such, JCR thinks that sanctions if any would have only a limited impact on the Polish economy.
- (2) Poland is the largest economy in Central and Eastern Europe with a nominal GDP in excess of EUR 460 billion and a population of 38.4 million in 2017. It is a relatively advanced economy with its per capita GDP (ppp) standing close to USD 30,000. The economy has been growing uninterrupted since 1992 mainly led by domestic demand. Annual growth rates averaged higher than 3% in the past five years. The economic growth accelerated to 4.6% (preliminary) in 2017 from 2.9% in 2016, due mainly to robust consumer spending brought by increased wages and employment, and solid investment prompted by a massive inflow of EU funds allocated for 2014-2020. There is a concern about labor shortage as the unemployment rate fell below 5% to a record low in 2017. However, the continued inflow of overseas workers may ease the shortage at least in the short term. Domestic demand may slow down in 2018 and 2019. Barring abrupt deterioration of the external environment, however, the economy is likely to grow faster than 3% each in the two years amid moderate price inflation. The country's financial system stays stable. The banks have been registering solid earnings despite additional cost burdens such as a bank tax and contributions to BGF (state Bank Guarantee Fund). They have been building up their capital position amid a falling nonperforming loan ratio.
- (3) The country's external liquidity has been improving thanks to a modest current account surplus in 2017 and the continued inflow of EU funds (The government decided to end IMF Flexible Credit Line in November 2017). While the trade surplus narrowed on increased goods imports amid strong domestic expansion, a service balance surplus kept on growing, almost offsetting a chronic primary income balance deficit. The country's external debt shrank to less than 70% of GDP at the end of September 2017, which was still relatively higher as compared to those of other sovereign governments rated in the A range. Its foreign exchange reserves exceeded EUR 90 billion at the end of 2017, or equivalent to 4.7 times of its monthly goods and services imports and 2.2 times of its short-term external debt as of the end of September 2017.
- (4) The general government fiscal deficit (ESA 2010) in 2017 was seen to have fallen below 2% of GDP (2.9% under the budget plan), staying below 3% since 2015. Expanded tax revenues resulting from economic expansion and intensified tax compliance more than offset the additional fiscal burdens, such as full year contributions to the child-care allowance scheme implemented in April 2016 and expenses related to the lower retirement age introduced in October 2017. The general government debt (ESA 2010) at the end of 2017 was estimated to contract to a level

around 52% of GDP from 54.1% a year earlier. The debt structure also improved, with the ratios of foreign currency-denominated debts (owed by the central government) and those held by nonresidents falling to just over 30% and 50%, respectively, at the end of 2017. With local elections and general elections expected to take place in the fall of 2018 and 2019, respectively, the government envisages keeping the fiscal deficit at 2.7% of GDP in 2018. In order to meet the annual extra burdens necessitated by the lower retirement age, the government is counting on a spending curb based on the expenditure rule, a wage cut for public workers and a higher tax revenue. JCR holds that the targeted fiscal deficit will be achievable in view of the stable economic expansion in the past and the government's continued tax compliance efforts. Nonetheless, some additional expenditure cuts will be needed to ensure sustainable reduction of the fiscal deficit.

Toshihiko Naito, Haruna Saeki

Rating

Issuer: The Republic of Poland

<Affirmation>

| | Rating | Outlook |
|------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Foreign Currency Long-term Issuer Rating | A | Stable |
| Local Currency Long-term Issuer Rating | A+ | Stable |

| Issues | Amount (bn) | Issue Date | Due Date | Coupon | Rating |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| No. 4 Yen Bonds | JPY 50 | Nov. 18, 2005 | Feb. 18, 2021 | 2.24% | A |
| No. 6 Yen Bonds | JPY 60 | Nov. 14, 2006 | Nov. 13, 2026 | 2.62% | A |
| No. 7 Yen Bonds | JPY 50 | Nov. 16, 2007 | Nov. 16, 2037 | 2.81% | A |
| No. 13 Yen Bonds | JPY 10 | Nov. 8, 2012 | Nov. 8, 2027 | 2.50% | A |
| No. 14 Yen Bonds | JPY 50 | Nov. 15, 2013 | Nov. 15, 2018 | 0.67% | A |
| No. 15 Yen Bonds | JPY 10 | Nov. 15, 2013 | Nov. 13, 2020 | 0.91% | A |

Rating Assignment Date: March 26, 2018

The criteria used for identifying matters which serve as assumptions for the assessment of the credit status, and the criteria used for setting of grades indicating the results of the assessments of the credit status are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Outline of methodology for determination of the credit rating is shown as "Sovereign and Public Sector Entities" (November 7, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foreseeable or unforeseeable. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCR's current and comprehensive opinion regarding redemption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion regarding any risk other than credit risk, such as market liquidity risk or price fluctuation risk. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of opinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)

Copyright © Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. All rights reserved.



Information Disclosure Form

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Issuer: | The Republic of Poland |
| Rating Publication Date: | March 29, 2018 |

1 The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release.

2 The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release.

3 The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

A) Economic Base

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to the issuing government's ability to maintain/expand the economic base into the future with maintaining soundness of financial systems.

B) Fiscal Base

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly correlated to fiscal balance, public debt and other factors of the issuing government's fiscal condition.

C) External Positions

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly correlated to the liquidity positions which change along with the international balance of payments and the international investment position.

D) Social and Political Bases and Economic Policy

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to the social and political stability, effectiveness of economic and monetary policies as well as international economics.

E) Related Parties' Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Government

The likelihood of a given debt payment is affected by the stance of the credit enhancement provider and other related parties with regard to their stance of support/ assistance for the issuing government.

F) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuing government's will, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same government in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by international practice, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7

- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.
- A) Informational and explanatory materials presented by the rating stakeholders with regard to the economy and fiscal management policy, etc. of the issuing government
- B) Statistics and reports published by an independent organization with regard to the economy and fiscal status, etc. of the issuing government

9 Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include the publication by the issuer or some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.

10 Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

11 Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Economic Base

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is an improvement or deterioration of the issuer's economy or financial systems, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

B) Fiscal Base

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its fiscal deficit/ surplus and its public debt and thereby makes given debt payment liability less/ more bearable. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

C) External Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's international balance of payments and international investment position and thereby an improvement/ deterioration of its liquidity positions. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

D) Social and Political Bases and Economic Policy

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's social and political conditions or economic/ monetary policies, etc. and thereby an improvement/ deterioration of its economy and fiscal positions. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Related Parties' Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the credit enhancement provider or other related parties with regard to their stance of support/ assistance for the issuing government and thereby an improvement/ deterioration of its economy, fiscal positions and liquidity positions. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

F) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt's status in the order of seniority relative to other debts, due to improvement/ deterioration of the issuer's fiscal condition and/or will. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

G) International Economies

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the international economies, commodity or foreign exchange markets, etc. and thereby, through international balance of payments, an improvement/ deterioration in the issuer's fiscal balance or debt payment capacity. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

H) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as domestic unrest, war, natural disaster, etc. which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's economy, fiscal positions, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

12

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/>

13

Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Economic Base

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's economy or financial systems, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's economy or financial systems on some drastic change in environments, etc.

B) Fiscal Base

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's fiscal conditions in terms of annual balance or public debt. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's fiscal condition on some drastic change in its economy.

C) External Positions

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions reflecting

improvement or deterioration of the international balance of payments and the international investment position. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in the country's economic/ fiscal conditions and financing activities, etc.

D) Social and Political Bases and Economic Policies

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's social and political bases and economic/ monetary policies. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the country's situation changes drastically, making the issuer's social and political bases and economic/monetary policies significantly improved or deteriorated.

E) International Economics

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the prospects of the international economies or commodity/ foreign exchange markets, etc. JCR expects the change should be most likely by a notch but could be as much as a few notches, should the economy or the markets change so greatly.

14 Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

| Issuer Name | Issue Name | Publication Date | Rating | Outlook/Direction |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | May 31, 2002 | BBB+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | May 8, 2003 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 30, 2004 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | May 12, 2005 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | April 12, 2006 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | January 9, 2007 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | February 26, 2008 | A- | Positive |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | December 16, 2008 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | April 9, 2009 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 30, 2010 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 7, 2011 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | April 3, 2012 | A- | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 1, 2013 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 5, 2014 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | February 25, 2015 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 23, 2016 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(FC) | March 23, 2017 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | May 8, 2003 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 30, 2004 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | May 12, 2005 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | April 12, 2006 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | January 9, 2007 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | February 26, 2008 | A | Positive |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | December 16, 2008 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | April 9, 2009 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 30, 2010 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 7, 2011 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | April 3, 2012 | A | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 1, 2013 | A+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 5, 2014 | A+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | February 25, 2015 | A+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 23, 2016 | A+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | Issuer(Long-term)(LC) | March 23, 2017 | A+ | Stable |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | November 8, 2005 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | April 12, 2006 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | January 9, 2007 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | February 26, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | December 16, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | April 9, 2009 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 30, 2010 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 7, 2011 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | April 3, 2012 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 1, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 4 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | November 2, 2006 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | January 9, 2007 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | February 26, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | December 16, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | April 9, 2009 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 30, 2010 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 7, 2011 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | April 3, 2012 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 1, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 6 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

| Issuer Name | Issue Name | Publication Date | Rating | Outlook/Direction |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | November 9, 2007 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | February 26, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | December 16, 2008 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | April 9, 2009 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 30, 2010 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 7, 2011 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | April 3, 2012 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 1, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 7 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | November 2, 2012 | A- | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | March 1, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 13 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 14 Yen Bonds | November 8, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 14 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 14 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 14 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 14 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 15 Yen Bonds | November 8, 2013 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 15 Yen Bonds | March 5, 2014 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 15 Yen Bonds | February 25, 2015 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 15 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2016 | A | |
| Republic of Poland | No. 15 Yen Bonds | March 23, 2017 | A | |

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Atsushi Masuda, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.



Atsushi Masuda
General Manager of International Rating Department

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026