

GREEN BOND / GREEN BOND PROGRAMME INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL REVIEW FORM

Section 1. Basic Information

Issuer name:	Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation
Green Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framework Name, if applicable:	DREAM Private REIT Inc. Green Trust Beneficiary Interests
Independent External Review provider's name:	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
Completion date of this form:	January 31, 2020
Publication date of review publication:	January 31, 2020

Section 2. Review overview

SCOPE OF REVIEW The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review. The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs: X Use of Proceeds X Process for Project Evaluation and Selection X Management of Proceeds X Reporting ROLE(S) OF Independent External REVIEW PROVIDER Second Party Opinion Certification Verification Verification Other (please specify):

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

Latest update: June 2018 Page 1 of 7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation (MUTB) was founded in 1927 and merged with UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation in 2005. MUTB is the core company of the Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (the "Group"), The Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, to which MUTB belongs, is a conglomerate financial group with financial subsidiaries such as MUFG Bank, Ltd., MUTB, and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. under the control of the holding company. The Group has the largest consolidated assets in Japan. As the only financial institution with trust functions within the Group, MUTB is actively working to resolve issues related to ESG, particularly environmental issues, based on the Group's environmental policies, such as MUFG Environmental Policy and MUFG Environmental and Social Policy Framework.

The subject of the evaluation is the trust beneficiary rights (the "Trust Beneficiary Rights") issued by MUTB which are backed by loan (the "Loan") that MUFG Bank, Ltd. (MUFG Bank) lends to DREAM Private REIT Inc. ("DPR"). The use of the proceeds of the Loan is the refinance for the acquisition of a logistics facility (DPR Hiratsuka Logistics Center) owned by DPR.

MUFG Bank executes the Loan to DPR, and immediately transfers it to MUTB. MUTB issues the Trust Beneficiary Rights backed by the Loan receivables. MUFG Bank transfers the Trust Beneficiary Rights to investors. During the term of the Loan, MUFG Bank, as a servicer, collects principal and interest from DPR and MUTB distributes the interest and redeems the principal to investors. JCR assigned "Green1", highest evaluation on JCR's Green Loan Evaluation to the Loans. MUTB refers this to confirm the greenness of the use of proceeds.

JCR confirmed that the management and operation system was appropriately organized and highly transparent due to the issuance that the proceeds of the Trust Beneficiary Rights was appropriately paid in accordance with the methods stipulated in the various agreements relating to the matter, including the trust agreement, that the fund management for the Trust Beneficiary Rights was conducted in an appropriate manner within the trust account, and that the reporting system for investors was appropriately established.

From the above, based on JCR Green Finance Evaluation Methodology, JCR assigned "g1" for the "Greenness Evaluation (Use of Proceeds)" and "m1" for the "Management, Operation and Transparency Evaluation."

Consequently, JCR assigns "Green 1" as an overall "JCR Green Bond Evaluation" to the Trust Beneficiary

Rights.

The Trust Beneficiary Rights meets the standards required by the Green Bond Principles and the Ministry of the Environment's Green Bond Guidelines.

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overa	all comment on section (if applicable):
a.	On the environmental improvement effects of the project
i.	100% of proceeds will be for refinancing of the loan to acquire an existing "Green Building" which have environmental improvement effect.
ii.	. The use of proceeds falls under the category of green projects defined in the Green Bond Principles or the Green Bond Guidelines of the Ministry of the Environment of Japan that are "energy efficiency" or "green buildings which meet regional, national or internationally recognised standards or certifications".
b.	Negative impact on Environment
	Diamond Realty Management Inc. (DRM), an asset management company of DPR, considers whether to acquire properties by conducting due diligence when acquiring properties at DPR. At the due diligence of the property, risks such as defects, soil contamination, and problems with tenants are examined. DPR Hiratsuka Logistics Center, which is subject to the use of proceeds, has also been acquired through this process, and no negative impact on the environment has been confirmed. MUTB has judged that the negative impact on the environment is small, referring to the results of due diligence by DRM.

Use of proceeds categories as per GBP:

Renewable energy	X Energy efficiency
Pollution prevention and control	Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation	Clean transportation
Sustainable water and wastewater management	Climate change adaptation
Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and	X Green buildings
Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs	Other (please specify):

If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall comment on section (if applicable):	
the use of proceeds.	al objectives, project selection criteria, and processes for
Such matters are disclosed in the JCR's evaluation	on report.
Evaluation and selection	
X Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives	X Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories
X Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds	X Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project
X Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available	Other (please specify):
Information on Responsibilities and Account	ability
Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification	X In-house assessment
Other (please specify):	
3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS	
	appropriated to the refinancing of DPR Hiratsuka Logistics
	e trust agreement that the issuance of the Trust Beneficiary for the acquisition of the logistics facility, no traceability
Transactions until the Trust Beneficiary Rights is deliv	vered and transferred to the investor are clearly stipulated in the e secured as long as businesses are conducted in accordance with
Tracking of proceeds:	
X Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by	the issuer in an appropriate manner
Disclosure of intended types of temporary invest	stment instruments for unallocated proceeds
Other (please specify):	
Additional disclosure:	
Allocations to future investments only	Allocations to both existing and future investments
Allocation to individual disbursements	Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements
Disclosure of portfolio balance of	X Other (please specify): Allocations only to existing investments

4. REPORTING

Overall comment on section	(if applicable)	:
----------------------------	-----------------	---

a. Reporting on proceeds allocation

As confirmed in the preceding section, the funds procured through the Trust Beneficiary Rights will be heading toward refinancing of the acquisition funds for the logistics facility, and therefore no reporting of the unallocated funds is anticipated during the period.

The subjects for the use of proceeds during the period will be monitored by the Structured Finance Division of MUTB and the Solution Products Division (Real Estate Finance Department) of MUFG Bank. In the event of a significant change, such as the sale of the property subject to the use of proceeds, DPR will notify the MUFG Bank and MUTB. In addition, MUTB will disclose information to investors as trustees.

b. Impact reporting for environmental benefits

The outline of the logistics facility for which proceeds of the Trust Beneficiary Rights will be used is described in Phase 1 of this report.

plan to disclose its environmental improvement report to investors at least once a year.	
Use of proceeds reporting:	
Project-by-project	X On a project portfolio basis
Linkage to individual bond(s)	Other (please specify):
Information reported:	
X Allocated amounts	Green Bond financed share of total investment
Other (please specify):	
requency:	
X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	
Impact reporting:	
Project-by-project	X On a project portfolio basis
Linkage to individual bond(s)	Other (please specify):
requency:	
X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	
Information reported (expected or ex-post):	
X GHG Emissions / Savings	Energy Savings
Decrease in water use	X Other ESG indicators (please specify): Valid Acquired Environmental Certification

Means of Disclosu	ire	
Information pu	ublished in financial report	Information published in sustainability report
Information pu	ublished in ad hoc documents	X Other (please specify): Show on the website
	ewed se of proceeds and impact repo xternal review.	
		ublication in the useful links section. gy or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.)
	activities by Mitsubishi UFJ Fina s group company)	nncial https://www.mufg.jp/english/csr/index.html
JCR's website a methodology	about green bond evaluation	https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/
SPECIFY OTHER EX	(TERNAL REVIEWS AVAIL/ provided:	ABLE, IF APPROPRIATE
Second Party C	Opinion	Certification
Verification		X Scoring/Rating
Other (please s	specify):	
R	leview provider(s):	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
D	ate of publication:	January 31, 2020

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds. 1.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification:** An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. **Green Bond Scoring/Rating:** An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.