

GREEN BOND / GREEN BOND PROGRAMME INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL REVIEW FORM

Section 1. Basic Information

Issuer name:	SEIBU HOLDINGS INC.
Green Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framework Name, if applicable:	5th unsecured corporate bonds
Independent External Review provider's name:	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
Completion date of this form:	June 9, 2021
Publication date of review publication:	June 9, 2021

Section 2. Review overview

The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review. The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs: X Use of Proceeds X Process for Project Evaluation and Selection X Management of Proceeds X Reporting ROLE(S) OF Independent External REVIEW PROVIDER Second Party Opinion Certification Verification X Scoring/Rating Other (please specify):

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

Latest update: June 2018 Page 1 of 7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

SEIBU HOLDINGS INC. (Seibu HD) is a holding company that oversees Seibu Group (the Group), and was established in February 2006 after the reorganization of the Group. Seibu Group has Seibu Railway Co., Ltd. (Seibu Railway) and Prince Hotel Inc. (Prince Hotel) as core subsidiaries. The Group is engaged in urban transportation and railway operations centered on Seibu Railway in the northwestern part of Tokyo Metropolis and the western part of Saitama Prefecture. Seibu Group is engaged in hotel and leisure operations centered on the Prince Hotel, which is one of the largest hotel chains in Japan. Seibu Group is also engaged in real estate operations centering on rental facilities in the central Tokyo area and along-line stations.

Seibu Group, including Seibu HD, established its Group Vision in 2006, aiming to respond to sustainable social development issues through a wide range of businesses and services, and to grow strongly with society. Seibu Group calls initiatives to realize sustainable society as "Sustainability Actions," and is actively working throughout the Group. Based on consideration of various issues Japanese society confronting today as well as its shifting market environment, the Group has established 12 key objectives under four broad categories; customer safety, environmental conservation, community engagement and corporate culture. Seibu Group is actively pursuing initiatives in line with the key objectives.

The scope of evaluation is the corporate bonds (the Bonds) to be issued by Seibu HD. The proceeds of the Bonds will be used for the refinancing of funds for purchasing trains that consume less electric power than conventional ones procured by Seibu Railway (Series 001 Laview and Series 40000). The introduction of new trains will reduce energy consumption by about 70% and 60% in Laview and Series 40000, respectively compared with conventional trains, and CO2 emission reduction per train is expected to be about 1,100 tons and 770 tons respectively. Seibu HD investigated and analyzed the possible negative impacts of the use of proceeds on the environment, and JCR confirmed that necessary measures are taken. As a result, JCR evaluates that these green projects do not have serious negative impacts that exceed the effect of environmental improvements on the environment and contributes to reduce CO2 emissions.

On the management, operation and transparency, JCR confirmed that Seibu HD has established the management and operation system for the green projects, that the selection criteria and the internal control system for the management of proceeds have been appropriately established, that prior disclosures to investors and post-issuance reporting have been appropriately planned, and that Seibu HD's management has positioned environmental issues as a priority issue with a high level of importance.

Based on the JCR Green Finance Evaluation Methodologies, JCR assigns "g1" for the "Greenness Evaluation (Use of Proceeds)" and "m1" for the "Management, Operation and Transparency Evaluation." Consequently, JCR assigns "Green 1" for overall evaluation.

The Bonds are considered to meet the standards for items required by the Green Bond Principles and the Green Bond Guidelines of The Ministry of Environment of Japan.

The Framework meets the standards for the items required in the Green Bond Principles, the Ministry of the Environment's Green Bond Guidelines, and the Green Loan Principles.

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

Section 3. Detailed review

areas not yet stated in GBPs

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):		
a. On the environmental improvement effe	cts of the project	
	or the refinancing of new procurement costs for rolling tional one, and CO2 emission reductions will be expected.	
	en Bond Principles and the Ministry of the Environment's or "clean transportation" and "clean transportation	
b. Negative impact on Environment		
In selecting the project, Seibu HD examined the negative impacts on the environment and take mitigation measures as necessary. The environmental and social risks assumed for this green project are as follows. - Introduction of new energy-efficient trains Inappropriate use and management of hazardous substances in train manufacturing Noise, vibration and ground subsidence caused by train operation Inappropriate management of hazardous materials generated from end-of-life trains Seibu HD and Seibu Railway have confirmed that these environmental risks are not expected in train manufacturing. JCR evaluates that Seibu HD is identifying possible risks and taking necessary countermeasures.		
Use of proceeds categories as per GBP:	Farance of the income	
Renewable energy	Energy efficiency	
Pollution prevention and control	Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use	
Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation	X Clean transportation	
Sustainable water and wastewater management	Climate change adaptation	
Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and	Green buildings	
Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible	Other (please specify):	

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall comment on section (if applicable):	
•	sjectives, project selection criteria, and processes for the
use of proceeds. Such matters are disclosed in the JCR's evaluatio	on report.
	•
aluation and selection	
X Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives	X Documented process to determine that projects fi within defined categories
X Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds	X Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project
X Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available	Other (please specify):
formation on Responsibilities and Accounta	ability
Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification	X In-house assessment
Other (please specify):	
	y in full to the refinancing of the eligible assets and will not be
project. Seibu Railway will manage the amount and tim the company's accounting system, and applies for loans	nans to Seibu Railway, a subsidiary that is the subject of the ning of payments related to the project based on data registered in to Seibu HD with the approval of the head of the Finance nages the appropriation of funds, and Seibu HD reports to the
c. Seibu Railway will manage the total expenditure for the Internal Control Department for each fiscal year ending d. The proceeds are managed in cash or cash equivalent	ts until they are appropriated. If unappropriated funds arise due to
equivalents until they are fully reapplied to projects that JCR evaluates that Seibu HD's fund management is app	· ·
ocking of proceeds:	
X Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by	the issuer in an appropriate manner
X Disclosure of intended types of temporary inves	stment instruments for unallocated proceeds
Other (please specify):	

Additional disclosure:	
Allocations to future investments only	Allocations to both existing and future investments
X Allocation to individual disbursements	Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements
Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds	Other (please specify):
4. REPORTING	
Overall comment on section (if applicable):	
a. Reporting on proceeds allocation	
project. If the target assets are sold before the green	riated in full to the refinancing of the new energy-efficient train bonds are redeemed and in case that reapportionment is of the procurement fund appropriated to the sold assets on their ted to a project meet other eligible criteria.
b. Impact reporting for environmental bene	fits
Seibu HD will disclose the environmental improven transportation (gCO2 per km) annually on their web	nent effect(Reductions in CO2 emissions per km of osite. Seibu HD plans to disclose following items
Use of proceeds reporting: X Project-by-project Linkage to individual bond(s)	On a project portfolio basis Other (please specify):
Information reported:	
X Allocated amounts	Green Bond financed share of total investment
Other (please specify):	
requency:	
X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	
Impact reporting:	
X Project-by-project	On a project portfolio basis
Linkage to individual bond(s)	Other (please specify):
requency:	
X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	

Information reported (expected or ex-po	ost):	
X GHG Emissions / Savings	Energy Savings	
Decrease in water use	Other ESG indicators (please specify):	
Means of Disclosure		
Information published in financial report	Information published in sustainability report	
Information published in ad hoc documents	Show on the website	
Reporting reviewed		
Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section. USEFUL LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.)		
SSET SE ETTAGS (e.g. to review provider methodor	ogy of creacitions, to issuer's documentation, etc.,	
Seibu HD's Sustainability Actions	https://www.seibuholdings.co.jp/en/sustainability/	
JCR's website about green finance evaluation methodology	https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/	
SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE Type(s) of Review provided:		
Second Party Opinion	Certification	
Verification	X Scoring/Rating	
Other (please specify):		
Review provider(s):	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.	
Date of publication:	June 9, 2021	

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds. 1.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification:** An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. Green Bond Scoring/Rating: An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.