News Release



Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

24-D-1842 March 11, 2025

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

National Institution for Academic Degrees and Quality Enhancement of Higher Education (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: AAA
Outlook: Stable
Bonds: AAA

Rationale

- (1) National Institution for Academic Degrees and Quality Enhancement of Higher Education ("NIAD-QE") is an incorporated administrative agency established in April 2016 through the merger of the Center for National University Finance and Management ("CUFM") and National Institution for Academic Degrees and University Evaluation. NIAD-QE underpins the development of higher education by taking on such roles as: evaluating the status of educational and research activities at universities and colleges; awarding academic degrees based on the evaluation of diverse learning outcomes at the higher education level; providing and granting loans necessary for the development of facilities at national university corporations, etc.; and providing subsidies for the establishment of faculties and other organizational changes in specific growth areas. Factors reflected in the ratings include NIAD-QE's strong legislative relationship with the Japanese government and high social and political significance in Japan's higher education policy, as well as a limited business risk associated with the Loans for Facilities Cost business.
- (2) NIAD-QE provides national university corporations with loans, etc. necessary for the development of the facilities/equipment of affiliated hospitals, thereby contributing to the advancement of medical care and improvement of the treatment environment for patients. Meanwhile, the newly added subsidizing operations help realize a "virtuous cycle of growth and distribution," which is advocated by the government, from the perspective of education and human resource development and provide subsidies to support the restructuring of faculties and other such initiatives at the universities and colleges of technology with focus on growth areas such as digital and green businesses. It has been decided, up to now, to subsidize around 180.0 billion yen from the fund of 300.2 billion yen provided by the government. NIAD-QE is an evaluation and accreditation organization certified by the Minister of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology and supports the improvement of the quality of education and research at universities and colleges. In line with future directions to be taken under the higher education policy, a shift to a new evaluation and accreditation system will be made, and its materialization will be closely watched. Given the characteristics of these operations, NIAD-QE can be said to act on behalf of the government to carry out the projects that should be implemented by the government, clearly indicating its position in the higher education policy.
- (3) There are credit risks in the Loans for Facilities Cost business because NIAD-QE provides loans to national university corporations by using fiscal loan funds and issuing FILP agency bonds as financial resources. That said, when extending loans, NIAD-QE places mortgages on the facilities and sites eligible for loans to increase the certainty of redemption. Given also the high creditworthiness of national university corporations and zero cases of delinquency to date, JCR assumes that the risk of loan losses is extremely low.
- (4) The accounting of NIAD-QE is divided into the following three accounts: the general account for the Certified Evaluation and Accreditation, Awarding of Academic Degrees and other businesses; the subsidizing operation account for subsidizing operations; and the facilities development account for the Loans and Grants for Facilities Cost and other businesses. The general and subsidizing operation accounts are funded by management expense grants, subsidies, etc. provided by the government, and revenues and expenditures are basically balanced. For the facilities development account on the other hand, in the event that grants for facility costs cannot be covered by revenues from the disposition of former specified school assets, the disposition of unnecessary assets of national university corporation, etc. and other sources, there is a structure whereby this is compensated by the reversal of the reserve. The account is managed with due consideration given to the balance of grant funds and reserve and thus poses no particular concerns.

Atsushi Kato, Masaki Abe



Rating

Issuer: National Institution for Academic Degrees and Quality Enhancement of Higher Education

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: AAA		Outlook: Stable			
Issue	Amount (bn)	Issue Date	Due Date	Coupon	Rating
Bonds no. 4	JPY 6.0	Feb. 28, 2020	Mar. 19, 2025	0.005%	AAA
Bonds no. 5	JPY 8.5	Feb. 26, 2021	Mar. 19, 2026	0.030%	AAA
Bonds no. 6	JPY 5.0	Feb. 28, 2022	Mar. 19, 2027	0.115%	AAA
Bonds no. 7	JPY 5.0	Feb. 28, 2023	Mar. 17, 2028	0.359%	AAA
Bonds no. 8	JPY 5.0	Feb. 29, 2024	Mar. 19, 2029	0.423%	AAA

Rating Assignment Date: March 6, 2025

The assumptions for the credit ratings and the definitions of the rating symbols are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/).

Outline of the rating methodology is shown as "FILP Agencies, etc." (May 29, 2020) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/).

The rating stakeholder participated in the rating process of the aforementioned credit ratings.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foresceable. JCRs ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCRs current and comprehensive opinion regarding predmption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion are statements of poinion, and not statements of poinion, and not statements of poinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR receives a rating fee paid by issuers for conducting rating services in principle. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

Issuer:	National Institution for Academic Degrees and Quality Enhancement of Higher Education
Rating Publication Date:	March 11, 2025

- The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7
 - Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.
- The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7
 - Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.
- The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7
 - The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
 - The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.
 - A) Legal Protection and Support Provided by the Government

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to the issuer's legal protection provided by the government, personnel and capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement, and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof.

B) Business Bases

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer's business bases - how they can be maintained/ expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.



C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer's indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

D) Liquidity Positions

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer's cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer's discretion, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4

The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

• The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6

Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

• There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7

Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

• There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.



- 8
- The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule17g-7
- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.
 - A) Audited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders
 - B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders
- 9

Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(l) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include by an independent auditor, the publication by the issuer or some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.
- If the credit rating is an Indication, please see the report for Indication.

10

Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.
- 11

Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Legal Protection and Support Provided by the Government

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's legal support by the government, personnel or capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

- B) Business Bases
 - The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients' preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.
- C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the



issuer's holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer's financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/ or asset quality is large.

D) Liquidity Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Creditworthiness of the Government, etc. that Constitute the Framework of Credit Enhancement The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the creditworthiness of the government, etc. that constitute the framework of credit enhancement. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change on such framework is exceptionally large.

F) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer's major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/ regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- · Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/

13 Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Legal Protection and Government Support

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's legal protection provided by the government, personnel and capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by one notch, as JCR stipulates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the change in the government's supporting policy is significant.

B) Business Bases

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's business bases and powers of earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's financial grounds and asset



quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's financial grounds and/ or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.

D) Liquidity Risks

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

- E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract
 - The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the order of seniority in repayment of interests and principal. JCR assumes the resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch. The change could be as much as a few notches if the issuer's financial structure differs so much and thereby the balance between debts shifted so greatly. Rating change is also possible in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turns out to be inaccurate. The change of the credit rating is assumed to be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.
- F) Creditworthiness of the Government, etc. that Constitute the Framework of Credit Enhancement The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the creditworthiness of the government, etc. that constitute the framework of credit enhancement. JCR assumes the resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch. The change could be as much as a few notches should the creditworthiness of said government, etc. change so greatly.
- 14 Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7
 - The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026



The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
National Institution for Academic	issue ivanie	1 dolledtion Date	Ruting	Outlook Breetion
Degrees and Quality	Issuer(Long-term)	January 31, 2020	AAA	Stable
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Issuer(Long-term)	January 27, 2021	AAA	Stable
Enhancement of Higher	issuer(Long-term)	January 27, 2021	ААА	Static
National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Issuer(Long-term)	February 8, 2022	AAA	Stable
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Issuer(Long-term)	February 10, 2023	AAA	Stable
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Issuer(Long-term)	February 2, 2024	AAA	Stable
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.4	February 7, 2020	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.4	January 27, 2021	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.4	February 8, 2022	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.4	February 10, 2023	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.4	February 2, 2024	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic		-		
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.5	February 5, 2021	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.5	February 8, 2022	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic		•		
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.5	February 10, 2023	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher	Define here	1 0010001	1 22 22 2	
National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.5	February 2, 2024	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.6	February 18, 2022	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.6	February 10, 2023	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.6	February 2, 2024	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.7	February 10, 2023	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.7	February 2, 2024	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher National Institution for Academic				
Degrees and Quality	Bonds no.8	February 9, 2024	AAA	
Enhancement of Higher				

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Takeshi Rikawa, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

里川武

Takeshi Rikawa

General Manager of Public Sector Rating Department