

## Green Bond / Green Bond Programme Independent External Review Form

## **Section 1. Basic Information**

Issuer name:	Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited
Green Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framework Name, if applicable:	AEON REIT Green Joint Trust Beneficiary Rights
Independent External Review provider's name:	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
Completion date of this form:	September 26, 2019
Publication date of review publication:	September 26, 2019

## Section 2. Review overview

#### **SCOPE OF REVIEW**

The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review. The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs:

X Use of Proceeds	X Process for Project Evaluation and Selection
X Management of Proceeds	X Reporting
ROLE(S) OF Independent External REVIEW PR	OVIDER
Second Party Opinion	Certification
Verification	X Scoring/Rating
Other (please specify):	

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited (SMTB) was founded both in 1924 as Mitsui Trust Co., Ltd., and in 1925 as Sumitomo Trust Co., Ltd., and is the core company of Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Group. This is a trust bank established by the merger of Sumitomo Trust Co., Ltd., Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., and Chuo Mitsui Asset Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. in 2012. The Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Group to which SMTB belongs is Japan's largest asset management group, and it is a trust banking group with a leading size in the industry centering on asset management and administration.

In response to the climate change issue, the Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Group formulated the Action Guidelines for Mitigating Climate Change, in which it stated in "2. Provision of Products and Services"

"We are working on developing and providing products and services that help mitigate climate change. Our financial functions are being leveraged to promote energy conservation and encourage the use of renewable energy."

The group aims to contribute to the resolution of climate change issues by utilizing the functions of the trust. The subjects to be evaluated are the trust beneficiary rights that are delivered by the joint money trusts established by SMTB (the "Trust Beneficiary Rights.")

SMTB receives money in trust (or borrowing from ABLs) from investors, loan the fund to AEON REIT Investment Corporation ("AEON REIT.") It is a mechanism that principal and interests paid by AEON REIT to SMTB shall be returned to the profits of investors as dividend income. The long-term loan from SMTB to UUR (the "Loan"), which the use of proceeds of the Trust Beneficiary Rights, shall be used to refinance the funds acquired by AEON REIT for the acquisition of the existing commercial buildings. The Loan received "Green 1," the highest green loan evaluation from JCR.

JCR confirmed that the fund management for the Trust Beneficiary Rights is highly transparent and has been conducted in an appropriate manner in SMTB's trust account and that the system for reporting to investors has been properly established.

As a result, based on the JCR Green Finance Evaluation Methodology, JCR assigns "g1" for the preliminary evaluation of the "Greenness Evaluation (Use of Proceeds)" and "m1" for the preliminary evaluation of the "Management, Operation and Transparency Evaluation." Consequently, JCR assigns "Green1" for the overall "JCR Green Bond Preliminary Evaluation."

https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

## Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

#### **1. USE OF PROCEEDS**

**Overall comment on section** (if applicable):

#### a. On the environmental improvement effects of the project

i. 100% of the proceeds are used for refinancing of existing green buildings, which can be expected to have the environmental improving effect.

ii. The use of proceeds falls under the category of green projects defined in the Green Bond Principles or the Green Bond Guidelines of the Ministry of the Environment of Japan that are "energy efficiency" or "green buildings which meet regional, national or internationally recognised standards or certifications."

#### b. Negative impact on Environment

Regarding the properties for which the proceeds are to be used, SMTB's Sales Planning Department, Corporate Planning Department, and Asset Finance Department obtained documents on risk surveys, such as the Engineering Report, from Aeon REIT to confirm potential risk factors. JCR considers that the Trust Beneficiary Rights have little negative impact on the environment.

#### Use of proceeds categories as per GBP:

Renewable energy	X Energy efficiency
Pollution prevention and control	Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation	Clean transportation
Sustainable water and wastewater management	Climate change adaptation
Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and	X Green buildings
Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs	Other (please specify) :

If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND S	SELECTION
<b>Overall comment on section</b> ( <i>if applicable</i> ):	
SMTB has established clear environmental object of proceeds.	ives, project selection criteria, and processes for the use
Such matters are disclosed in the JCR's evaluation	report.
Evaluation and selection	
X Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives	X Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories
X Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds	X Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project
X Summary criteria for project evaluation and	Other (please specify) :
Information on Responsibilities and Accounta	bility
Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification	X In-house assessment
Other (please specify) :	
3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS	
scheduled to be fully used for refinancing the funds for Kofu Showa, and are not scheduled to be used for othe b. The Asset Finance Department/Trust Team of SMTB, funds to AEON REIT as the Loan. SMTB limits the use of AEON REIT in the materials for investors of the Trust Be the Loan by checking press releases of AEON REIT. c. After the funds are transferred, the above-mentioned and a settlement business related to the trust account, principal on the final repayment date. In addition, SMT such as the effects of environmental improvements in I	which is responsible for managing trust assets, will transfer the proceeds of the Trust Beneficiary Rights to the Loan to eneficiary Rights. SMTB will confirm the use of the proceeds of d Asset Finance Department/Trust Team carries out accounting pays interest to investors during the term, and repays the B plans to provide investors with information on reporting line with its semi-annual accounting period. JCR confirms and operated by the Internal Audit Department, which conducts
Tracking of proceeds:	
X Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by t	
X Disclosure of intended types of temporary invest	tment instruments for unallocated proceeds
Other (please specify):	
Additional disclosure:	
Allocations to future investments only	Allocations to both existing and future investments
X Allocation to individual disbursements	Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements

- X Allocation to individual disbursements
  - Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds
- Other (please specify):

### 4. REPORTING

Overall comment on section (if applicable):	
a. Reporting on proceeds allocation	
	entire amount of the Loan to refinancing the funds for the AEON MALL Kofu Showa, it is currently not expected to
b. Impact reporting for environmental be	nefits
SMTB plans to disclose the information disc Rights.	closed by AEON REIT to investors of the Trust Beneficiary
Status of acquisition of environmental cer	rtification
Energy consumption	
<ul> <li>Greenhouse gas (CO2) emissions</li> <li>Details of construction work selected in Fl</li> </ul>	ligibility Criteria 2 and expected reduction rate (When
Eligibility Criteria 2 is included in the amour	
se of proceeds reporting:	On a project portfolio basis
Linkage to individual bond(s)	Other (please specify):
Information reported:	
X Allocated amounts	Green Bond financed share of total investment
Other (please specify):	
requency:	
X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	
npact reporting:	
X     Project-by-project	On a project portfolio basis

Linkage to individual bond(s)

X Annual	Semi-annual
Other (please specify):	
Information reported (expected or ex-post):	
X GHG Emissions / Savings	Energy Savings
Decrease in water use	X Other ESG indicators (please specify):
	Acquired valid environmental certification

Other (please specify):

### **Means of Disclosure**

Information published in financial report	Information published in sustainability report
Information published in ad hoc documents	X Other (please specify): Show on the website
X Reporting reviewed	

Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.

#### **USEFUL LINKS** (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.)

Sustainability activities by Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited	https://www.smth.jp/en/csr/index.html
JCR's website about green bond evaluation methodology	https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/greenfinance/

# SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE Type(s) of Review provided:

Second Party Opinion	Certification
Verification	X Scoring/Rating
Other (please specify):	

Review provider(s):	Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.
Date of publication:	September 26, 2019

### ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds. 1.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification:** An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. **Green Bond Scoring/Rating:** An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.