

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd. (security code: 7003)

<Rating Change>

Long-term Issuer Rating: from BBB- to BBB+
Outlook: from Positive to Stable
Shelf Registration: from Preliminary BBB- to Preliminary BBB+

<Affirmation>

CP: J-2

Rationale

- (1) MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd. (the “Company”) is Japan’s largest manufacturer of marine diesel engines. With progress in the streamlining of unprofitable businesses such as engineering and new shipbuilding, which posted a huge loss in the past, its operation structure now centers on the Marine Propulsion Systems and Logistics & Systems divisions having marine diesel engines and container cranes as mainstay products, respectively. Other divisions include New Business Development mainly offering industrial machinery like compressors. On a separate note, it sold the majority of the shares held in MODEC, INC. (“MODEC”) in May and June 2024, and MODEC is no longer its equity method affiliate. In June 2025, the Company transferred all of its shares in Mitsui E&S Shipbuilding Co., Ltd. to TSUNEISHI SHIPBUILDING Co., Ltd. (shareholding ratio before the sale: 34.00%).
- (2) For the time being, the Company is projected to generate a high level of profitability in a stable manner. Its main products, marine diesel engines and container cranes, maintain high competitiveness, especially in the domestic market. In addition, after-sales service revenue from these products is also trending steadily, and they are expected to continue to contribute stably to profits in the future. Meanwhile, in terms of finances, it has made progress in expanding equity capital and reducing interest-bearing debt, and financial indicators at the end of March 2025 improved significantly from a year earlier. While aggressive investments are assumed to continue going forward, given the Company’s stated policy of focusing on financial discipline, continued financial improvement is highly likely. Based on the above, JCR has upgraded the ratings on the Company by two notches. The rating outlook has been changed to Stable.
- (3) In the fiscal year ended March 2025 (FY2024), operating income was 23.1 billion yen (up 17.8% year on year). The income increased with an expansion in after-sales service revenue and the effects of cost reduction measures. Net income was 39 billion yen (up 56.0% year on year), a significant increase due in part to the recognition of gains from the sale of shares in MODEC. The Company announced that its operating income for FY2025 is planned to be 24 billion yen. The income is projected to grow thanks to improved earnings at overseas subsidiaries that posted losses in FY2024 and expanded income in Marine Propulsion Systems. As the mainstays Marine Propulsion Systems and Logistics & Systems have seen their order backlog increase on the back of the favorable market environment, earnings will likely remain solid for the time being.
- (4) The equity ratio at the end of FY2024 was 37.8%, an improvement from 30.4% a year earlier. Financial indicators improved due to an increase in equity capital through accumulated profits and the use of funds obtained from the sale of shares in MODEC to repay loans. In addition, the preferred shares issued in 2022 were redeemed early in July 2024. The shares had a strong liability nature, as they were subject to acquisition rights in exchange for cash. Its financial base has been strengthened through capital expansion and debt reduction. Aggressive investments seem to continue going forward, but the amount of investments are expected to remain within the scope of operating cash flow, and it is highly likely that the financial structure will keep improving.

Hiroaki Sekiguchi, Takahiko Yamaguchi

Rating

Issuer: MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.

<Rating Change>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB+ Outlook: Stable

Shelf Registration: Preliminary BBB+

Maximum: JPY 40 billion

Valid: Two years effective from August 7, 2024

<Affirmation>

CP: J-2

Maximum: JPY 12 billion

Rating Assignment Date: July 15, 2025

The assumptions for the credit ratings and the definitions of the rating symbols are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Outline of the rating methodology is shown as "JCR's Rating Methodology" (October 1, 2024) and "General Heavy Machinery" (October 4, 2024) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

The rating stakeholder participated in the rating process of the aforementioned credit ratings.

A preliminary rating is a credit rating assigned as a preliminary evaluation while material terms for issue to be rated are not yet finalized. When the issuing terms are finalized, JCR will confirm them and will assign a credit rating anew. The rating level of the final rating may be different from that of the preliminary rating, depending on the final content of the terms, etc.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foreseeable or unforeseeable. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCR's current and comprehensive opinion regarding redemption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion regarding any risk other than credit risk, such as market liquidity risk or price fluctuation risk. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of opinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR receives a rating fee paid by issuers for conducting rating services in principle. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

Issuer:	MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.
Rating Publication Date:	July 18, 2025

1

The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

2

The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

3

The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

A) Business Bases

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer's business bases - how they can be maintained/ expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer's indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

C) Liquidity Positions

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer's cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The likelihood of debt payment is affected one way or the other by the issuer's related parties such as parent company, subsidiary, guarantor, and the government of the issuer's business domicile, etc. - by their own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer's discretion, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7

- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

A) Audited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders

B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders

9 Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include the audit by an independent auditor, the warranty made by the issuer, the publication by the issuer, some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.
- If the credit rating is an Indication, please see the report for Indication.

10 Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

11 Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients' preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the issuer's holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer's financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/ or asset quality is large.

C) Liquidity Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's parent company or subsidiary, guarantor or other provider of credit enhancement, or the government of the issuer's business domicile, or other related parties' own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer, and the change thereby makes its business bases, financial grounds and/ or liquidity positions improve or deteriorate, and/ or making the effectiveness of guarantee and other credit enhancement improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt's status in the order of seniority relative to other debts caused by the improvement/ deterioration of the issuer's financial condition. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large. Also, in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, the credit rating is subject to alteration if and when the likelihood of such non-payment is projected to increase or decrease. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

F) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a rise/ fall in the general economy and/ or the markets inducing the issuer's revenues/ expenses to increase/ decrease and vice versa, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

G) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer's major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/ regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

12

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/>

13

Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's business bases and powers of earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's financial grounds and asset quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but

possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's financial grounds and/ or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.

C) Liquidity Risks

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

D) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the order of seniority in repayment of interests and principal. JCR assumes the resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch. The change could be as much as a few notches if the issuer's financial structure differs so much and thereby the balance between debts shifted so greatly. Rating change is also possible in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turns out to be inaccurate. The change of the credit rating is assumed to be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

E) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the prospects of general economy and markets. JCR expects the change should be most likely by a notch but could be as much as a few notches, should the economy or the markets change so greatly.

14

Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 25, 2006	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 24, 2007	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 28, 2008	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 2, 2009	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 1, 2010	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 1, 2011	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 13, 2012	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 29, 2013	BBB+	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 6, 2014	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 7, 2015	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 10, 2016	BBB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	August 9, 2017	BBB+	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 7, 2018	#BBB+	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 9, 2018	BBB	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 2, 2018	BBB	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 31, 2018	BBB	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 9, 2019	BBB-	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 5, 2019	#BBB-	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 19, 2019	BB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 24, 2021	BB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	January 24, 2022	BB+	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 3, 2023	BB+	Stable
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	July 4, 2024	BBB-	Positive
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	May 12, 1998	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	December 27, 1999	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	July 25, 2001	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 12, 2002	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	October 17, 2003	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	September 17, 2004	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	September 22, 2005	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 25, 2006	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 24, 2007	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	July 8, 2008	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 28, 2008	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	September 2, 2009	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	September 1, 2010	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	September 1, 2011	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 13, 2012	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 29, 2013	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 6, 2014	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 7, 2015	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 10, 2016	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	August 9, 2017	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	April 2, 2018	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	October 31, 2018	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	May 9, 2019	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	November 5, 2019	#J-2	Negative
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	November 19, 2019	J-3	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	February 24, 2021	J-3	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	January 24, 2022	J-3	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	April 3, 2023	J-3	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	CP	July 4, 2024	J-2	
MITSUI E&S Co., Ltd.	Shelf Registration	August 7, 2024	BBB-	

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Masayoshi Mizukawa, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

水川 雅義

Masayoshi Mizukawa

General Manager of Corporate Rating Department II

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026