

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

Export Development Canada (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Foreign Currency Long-term Issuer Rating:	AAA
Outlook:	Stable
Local Currency Long-term Issuer Rating:	AAA
Outlook:	Stable

Rationale

- (1) Export Development Canada (EDC) is an export credit agency wholly owned by the federal government of Canada (the government). EDC is a Crown Corporation and has a solid relationship with the government. It plays a crucial role in promoting the government's international trade policy. Thus, the ratings are strongly supported by the credit standing of Canada (Long-term Issuer ratings; FC: AAA/Stable and LC: AAA/Stable). As the COVID-19 pandemic subsided, the government has expanded EDC's mandate until the end of 2022 to continuously strengthen its support for Canadian companies. While retaining EDC's legal status as a Crown Corporation, it has enhanced the importance of its role in the government's industrial policy. Accordingly JCR has affirmed the ratings and retained their Stable outlook.
- (2) EDC was founded in 1944 as Canada's export credit agency and became a Crown Corporation in 1969 under the Export Development Act (the ED Act) with a mandate to support and develop international trade by helping Canadian companies respond to international business opportunities. Like other federal Crown Corporations, EDC is supervised by the government under the Financial Administration Act (the FA Act). Under the FA Act, The payment of all money borrowed by EDC is a charge on and payable out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund (the Fund), which is the aggregate of all public money such as tax revenues retained by the government. EDC can also borrow money from the Fund under terms and conditions set by the Minister of Finance. To date, however, it has not borrowed from the Fund. The government expanded EDC's mandate to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. It gave EDC new programs while enhancing its existing roles. During the pandemic subsidies, it has extended EDC's expanded mandate until the end of 2022 to continuously strengthen its support for Canadian companies. Applications for Canada Emergency Business Account (CEBA) were closed at the end of June 2021 with CAD 49 billion of interest-free loans to over 898,000 businesses from April 2020 to December 2021 through Canada Account. EDC also guaranteed 2,038 cases totaling CAD 1.4 billion loans through Business Credit Availability Program (BCAP) from March 2020 to December 2021.
- (3) EDC's outstanding balance of gross loans receivable stood at CAD 48.3 billion at the end of 2021, of which 98% was made to commercial borrowers. Some of the loans made to the commercial properties degraded. As a result, EDC's nonperforming loan ratio rose to 4.2% at the end of 2021 from 3.6% at the end of 2020. Following the extension of EDC's mandate until the end of 2022, its authorized share capital was increased from CAD 3 billion at the end of 2019 to CAD 15 billion at the end of 2021. Its share capital was also increased from CAD 1.33 billion to CAD 12.3 billion in the same period. As EDC was in capital surplus and had ample liquidity thanks to the capital increase, it paid dividends totaling CAD 7.28 billion in 2021. In addition, EDC plans to repurchase shares totaling CAD 3.81 billion in 2022. The pandemic subsidence allowed EDC to put back a significant amount of reversal of provision for credit losses and post a sizable net income in 2021. With this, its capital ratio (shareholders' equity/total assets) declined modestly from 28.5% at the end of 2020 to 24.2% at the end of 2021 even after the dividend payments. As EDC is not a profit-oriented institution, JCR holds that it will continue to support Canadian companies under its expanded mandate until the end of 2022.

Toshihiko Naito, Hiroshi Tonegawa

Rating

Issuer: Export Development Canada

<Affirmation>

Foreign Currency Long-term Issuer Rating: AAA Outlook: Stable

Local Currency Long-term Issuer Rating : AAA Outlook: Stable

Rating Assignment Date: July 25, 2022

The assumptions for the credit ratings and the definitions of the rating symbols are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Outline of the rating methodology is shown as "Sovereign and Public Sector Entities" (October 1, 2021) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foreseeable or unforeseeable. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCR's current and comprehensive opinion regarding redemption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion regarding any risk other than credit risk, such as market liquidity risk or price fluctuation risk. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of opinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR receives a rating fee paid by issuers for conducting rating services in principle. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

Issuer:	Export Development Canada
Rating Publication Date:	July 28, 2022

1 The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

2 The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

3 The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

A) Legal Protection and Support Provided by the Government

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to the issuer's legal protection provided by the government, personnel and capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement, and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof.

B) Business Bases

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer's business bases - how they can be maintained/ expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.

C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer's indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

D) Liquidity Positions

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer's cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer's discretion, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7

- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.
 - A) Unaudited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders
 - B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders

9 Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include verification by a government agency and alike, the publication by the issuer, some independent media or otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.
- If the credit rating is an Indication, please see the report for Indication.

10 Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

11 Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Legal Protection and Support Provided by the Government

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's legal support by the government, personnel or capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

B) Business Bases

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients' preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.

C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption

capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the issuer's holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer's financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/ or asset quality is large.

D) Liquidity Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt's status in the order of seniority relative to other debts caused by the improvement/ deterioration of the issuer's financial condition. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large. Also, in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, the credit rating is subject to alteration if and when the likelihood of such non-payment is projected to increase or decrease. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

F) Creditworthiness of the Government, etc. that Constitute the Framework of Credit Enhancement

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the creditworthiness of the government, etc. that constitute the framework of credit enhancement. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change on such framework is exceptionally large.

G) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a rise/ fall in the general economy and/ or the markets inducing the issuer's revenues/ expenses to increase/ decrease and vice versa, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

H) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer's major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/ regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

12

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/>

13

Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Legal Protection and Government Support

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's legal protection provided by the government, personnel and capital relationship with the government, importance in the government's policy, credit enhancement and other forms of the government's supporting policy, framework and the strength thereof. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by one notch, as JCR stipulates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the change in the government's supporting policy is significant.

B) Business Bases

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's business bases and powers of earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

C) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's financial grounds and asset quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's financial grounds and/ or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.

D) Liquidity Risks

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

E) Creditworthiness of the Government, etc. that Constitute the Framework of Credit Enhancement

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the creditworthiness of the government, etc. that constitute the framework of credit enhancement. JCR assumes the resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch. The change could be as much as a few notches should the creditworthiness of said government, etc. change so greatly.

14

Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	October 19, 2005	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 21, 2006	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 20, 2007	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 4, 2008	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	August 18, 2009	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	August 9, 2010	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	August 1, 2011	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	September 4, 2012	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 24, 2013	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	August 1, 2014	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 29, 2015	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 29, 2016	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	August 18, 2017	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	September 21, 2018	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	September 10, 2019	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	September 23, 2020	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(FC)	July 15, 2021	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	July 4, 2008	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	August 18, 2009	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	August 9, 2010	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	August 1, 2011	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	September 4, 2012	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	July 24, 2013	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	August 1, 2014	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	July 29, 2015	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	July 29, 2016	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	August 18, 2017	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	September 21, 2018	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	September 10, 2019	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	September 23, 2020	AAA	Stable
Export Development Canada	Issuer(Long-term)(LC)	July 15, 2021	AAA	Stable

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Toshihiko Naito, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.



Toshihiko Naito
General Manager of International Rating Department

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026