Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

**New Development Bank (security code: -)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&lt;Assignment&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Issuer Rating:</td>
<td>AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlook:</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale**

(1) New Development Bank (NDB) is a Shanghai-based multilateral development bank (MDB) founded by five countries of Brazil, China, India, Russia, and South Africa (BRICS). The ratings are based on JCR's appraisal of: (i) the strong support for the NDB's operations by its member countries, (ii) its solid capital base, (iii) a conservative risk management framework to ensure financial soundness, and (iv) the preferred creditor status which the NDB enjoys. BRICS is facing enormous financial requirements in the infrastructure development and sustainable development, and governments have clarified their policy of utilizing the NDB as the core institution for mobilizing resources in these fields. During the four-year period after its establishment, the NDB has hired a number of experienced staff from MDBs, governments and government related institutions, commercial banks etc. and created the operational structure required to support its member countries. Capital payments from the five founding member countries have also made steady progress in creating a robust financial structure, and the NDB is expected to maintain a sound financial structure through conservative risk management even when its loans expand further in volume. Based on the above, JCR holds that the NDB will adequately fulfill its role as a MDB set up for emerging countries by emerging countries.

(2) The establishment of the NDB was agreed at the BRICS Summit held in March 2013. The NDB started its operation in July 2015 upon effect of the Agreement on its foundation signed by the five BRICS countries in July 2014. The purpose of its establishment is to provide loans, guarantees, equity participation and technical assistance aimed to mobilize financial resources needed to fund projects for infrastructure and sustainable development in BRICS and other emerging economies. Of its authorized capital of USD 100 billion, USD 50 billion is subscribed capital, and five BRICS countries hold a 20% equity stake each. Of the USD 50 billion subscribed capital, USD 10 billion is paid-in capital and the remaining USD 40 billion is callable capital. The NDB will become a MDB with ample funds when its paid-in capital reaches USD 10 billion by 2022. JCR holds that in the long term it is important for the NDB to strategically expand the size and credit quality of its capital base in line with growing loan commitments.

(3) The NDB’s main business is to support projects for infrastructure and sustainable development in the five BRICS countries. It lends to both the sovereign entities and private enterprises in the five member countries. Its loan approvals increased from 7 loans totaling USD 1.5 billion in 2016 to 6 loans totaling USD 1.8 billion in 2017 and 17 loans totaling USD 4.7 billion in 2018. By sector, clean energy and transport infrastructure accounted for the largest share of 27% each, followed by irrigation, water resource management and sanitation accounting with 18% and urban development with 14%. Its balance of total accumulated approval loans stood at USD 7.8 billion and total accumulated disbursement loan stood at USD 624.6 million at the end of 2018. Since its current borrower countries are limited to BRICS, the quality of its loan assets is higher than those of the other MDBs whose borrowers include some poorest countries. The NDB estimates its loan commitments in 2019 to be about USD 7.5 billion.

(4) The NDB seeks to raise funds on competitive terms, passing them on to the lending terms for the member countries. Maintaining good financial profile is its priority agenda. To this end, it has adopted the "Capital Management Policy" to establish and monitor financial indicators such as the equity-to-loan ratio (the lower limit set at 25%), equity-to-asset ratio (the lower limit at 25%), capital utilization ratio (the upper limit at 90%), and total amount outstanding in respect of ordinary operations to subscribed capital and reserves ratio (the upper limit at 100%). Since the amount of loans kept expanding in the first four years of operation, these indicators have been met and no indicators are expected to reach their limits in the outlook for operations over the next three years. Although NDB’s lending is expanding rapidly against the backdrop of strong funding needs in the...
member countries, JCR holds that it can maintain its financial health through conservative financial management and appropriate risk control.

(5) The NDB’s risk management fully meets the objectives of its internal control indicators such as liquidity, credit, market and operational risks. As to liquidity, its policy is to constantly hold liquid assets to cover minimum of 12 months’ liquidity requirements. As the number of borrower countries is currently limited to five and the number of loan approvals is relatively small, the management of loan concentration risk is a priority issue for risk management. Many of the infrastructure development projects handled by the NDB are large in size, and from the viewpoint of risk diversification, it is important for the NDB to establish cooperation on co-finance with other MDBs or other financial institutions.

(6) The NDB’s external funding has so far been limited to two issuances of RMB-denominated bonds in China, as well as regular Euro-Commercial Paper (ECP) offerings under the program set up in April 2019. In July 2016, NDB raised RMB 3 billion (about USD 450 million) by issuing five-year green bond in China Inter-Bank Bond Market. The Bank used the proceeds from the green bond to finance solar power and offshore wind power projects in China, renewable energy and environmental protection projects in Brazil and hydro power project in Russia. In February 2019, NDB issued second RMB-denominated bonds with respective maturity of three years and five years for a total of RMB 3 billion. In April 2019, NDB registered an ECP Program, a short-term funding program for liquidity management and frequently offers the same in the market. In addition, NDB will further enlarge the financing scope and plans to establish Medium-Term Note Program in the international capital market by end of 2019. The debt-equity ratio is expected to rise to 0.7 in 2021, but its leverage ratio is much lower than those of other MDBs.
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Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issuer:</th>
<th>New Development Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating Publication Date:</td>
<td>August 20, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7
   - Please see the news release.

2. The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7
   - Please see the news release.

3. The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7
   - The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer’s condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
   - The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

   A) Business Bases
   The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer’s business bases - how they can be maintained/expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.

   B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality
   The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer’s indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

   C) Liquidity Positions
   The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer’s cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).
D) Related Parties’ Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer
The likelihood of debt payment is affected one way or the other by the issuer’s related parties such as member countries, the issuer’s related organizations, guarantor, and the government of the issuer’s business domicile, etc. - by their own conditions and/or position of support/assistance for the issuer.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment
The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer’s discretion, and/or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7
The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

A) Audited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders
B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders

Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(l) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include the audit by an independent auditor, the publication by the issuer or some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst’s scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.

Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR’s service other than the determination of credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases
The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer’s business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients’ preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality
The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the issuer’s holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer’s financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/or asset quality is large.

C) Liquidity Positions
The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer’s financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

D) Related Parties’ Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer
The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer’s member countries, the issuer’s related organizations, guarantor or other provider of credit enhancement, or the government of
the issuer’s business domicile, or other related parties’ own conditions and/or position of support/assistance for the issuer, and the change thereby makes its business bases, financial grounds and/or liquidity positions improve or deteriorate, and/or making the effectiveness of guarantee and other credit enhancement improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract
The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt’s status in the order of seniority relative to other debts caused by the improvement/deterioration of the issuer’s financial condition. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large. Also, in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/principal is contractually permissible, the credit rating is subject to alteration if and when the likelihood of such non-payment is projected to increase or decrease. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

F) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets
The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a rise/fall in the general economy and/or the markets inducing the issuer’s revenues/expenses to increase/decrease and vice versa, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

G) Various Events
The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer’s major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer’s business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

---

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL: https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/

Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases
The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer’s business bases and powers of earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer’s business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality
The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer’s financial grounds and asset quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer’s financial grounds and/or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.
C) Liquidity Risks
The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer’s liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer’s liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

D) Related Parties’ Status and Stance of Support/Assistance for the Issuer
The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to member countries, the issuer’s related organizations, guarantor or other providers of credit enhancement, the government of the issuer’s business domicile or other related parties’ status and stance of support/assistance for the issuer. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if there is a major change on the part of related parties, such as replacement, disappearance, some drastic improvement/deterioration of financial grounds/balances, etc.

E) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets
The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the prospects of general economy and markets. JCR expects the change should be most likely by a notch but could be as much as a few notches, should the economy or the markets change so greatly.

14 Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

● The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.
Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Atsushi Masuda, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.

B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.

C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

Atsushi Masuda
General Manager of International Rating Department